



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#13  
Amel C  
JB  
10/23/01

In re application of:

Blair, et al.

Appl. No. 09/545,017

Filed: April 7, 2000

For: **Portable Speed Bump**

Art Unit: 3673

Examiner: Pechhold, A.

Atty. Docket: 683120/98003

**Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment**

**RECEIVED**  
OCT 22 2001  
**GROUP 3500**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment dated August 15, 2001 (PTO Paper Number 11), Applicant submits the following Remarks and Amendments.

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, if additional extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required therefor (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to our Deposit Account No. 19-4295.

**Remarks**

The Legal Instruments Examiner notified Applicant that Applicant's Response filed on August 1, 2001 in response to an Office Action dated March 1, 2001 is considered non-compliant because it was not filed in the format required by amended 37 C.F.R. §1.121, taking effect March 1, 2001. Specifically, the Legal Instruments Examiner stated in the Notice that Applicant's amendments included in the Response did not include the appropriate form of a marked up version